

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/649,023	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BLACKMON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jessica L. Ward	<b>Art Unit</b> 1733	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jessica L. Ward.

(2) Mr. Elchuk.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 30 July 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant agreed to designate "first" and "second" resins throughout the claims to avoid confusion between the resin of the rigid interlayer and the resin of the reinforcing structure. Applicant agreed to cancel dependent claim 8 to avoid redundancy. Applicant agreed to restructuring parts of claims 11 and 19 to clarify that the first resin only forms a rigid interlayer after it is cured. Applicant also agreed to amend claims 1, 11 and 19 to state that the reinforcing structure is secured "directly" to/on the rigid interlayer to distinguish the claimed invention from the teachings of Shimodaira and Stang (both of record).